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In the wake of the Black Lives Matter protests and racial reckoning that swept the nation in Summer 2020, the racial consciousness of many Americans reached a critical mass, and the topic of antiracism rose to the forefront. Instagram users participated in #BlackoutTuesday, where what started as a protest by the music industry to recognize the death of George Floyd at the hands of Minneapolis Police by posting a black square turned into a social media platform-wide movement, with an estimated 28 million accounts participating worldwide (Monckton, 2020). The week of June 21, 2020, the top five nonfiction books on the NY Times bestseller list were White Fragility (DiAngelo, 2019), So You Want to Talk About Race (Oluo, 2018), How to Be an Antiracist (Kendi, 2019), Me and White Supremacy (Saad, 2020), and The New Jim Crow (Alexander, 2010). The buy-in was incredible, and understandably, schools wanted to get in on this latest trend toward social justice.

In response to this reckoning, a common theme for professional development initiatives at institutions (spanning P-12 through higher education) was that of antiracist pedagogy—the “paradigm located within Critical [Race] Theory utilized to explain and counteract the persistence of racism using praxis as its focus to promote social justice” (Blakeney, 2011, p. 119). Buzzwords like intersectionality and racial equity and diversity training permeated educational listservs; links to antiracist reading lists and syllabi and conference themes proliferated inboxes and start-of-school in-services.
But for those who already have experience working with diverse groups of students (whether that diversity is racial, ethnic, linguistic, or cultural), many aspects that comprise antiracist pedagogy likely sounded more than familiar. Teachers and administrators who specialize in working with multilingual populations have already been doing antiracist-informed work, although they call this praxis *multilingual pedagogy*.

This piece establishes connections between best practices in working with multilingual student populations and features of antiracist pedagogy. Parallels can be drawn specifically when considering the historic lack of support for both populations in education and the obligation of teachers and administrators to “meet the moment” to best support populations of linguistically, racially, ethnically, and culturally diverse students through inclusive approaches to teaching. Multilingual pedagogy is in many ways a good start in working toward antiracist pedagogy, and what follows will illuminate this symbiotic relationship in ways that are applicable to both classroom teachers and school administrators.

**Theoretical Underpinnings of Multilingual and Antiracist Pedagogies: An Introduction**

*Multilingual Pedagogy*

Multilingual pedagogy is often referred to in academia as second language (or L2) writing. This field has its roots in applied linguistics and traditionally focused more specifically on the linguistic diversity of international student populations in higher education and how this impacts students’ writing. In the past 30 years, the field has grown and expanded to be considered more transdisciplinary (Matsuda, 2013), now comprising the expertise of multiple disciplines (e.g., applied linguistics, rhetoric and composition/writing studies, TESOL) and acknowledging a wider range of both students (e.g., residential and international multilingual students) and institutional structures/types (e.g., including P-12 education along with higher ed; reaching beyond North
The field of multilingual writing has also expanded in more recent years to include additional concepts and statements that have become buzzwords salient to this article and its readers. For example, the popularity of *translingualism* has grown in the last decade. Translingualism is the idea that there is fluidity between/among languages, a concept that “sees difference in language not as a barrier to overcome or as a problem to manage, but as a resource for producing meaning” (Horner et al., 2011, p. 303). In short, it is the belief that language difference is both natural and acceptable—and that it must be acknowledged in the classroom. Related terms are *code switching* and *code-meshing*. Code switching is a linguistic phenomenon when a multilingual speaker alternates between their multiple languages in a single situation; code-meshing, coined by Vershawn Ashanti Young in *Your Average Nigga: Performing Race, Literacy, and Masculinity* (2004; see also Young, 2013; Young, et al., 2014), is the concept of combining or layering multiple languages, dialects, or registers (rather than switching from one language to another). Translingualism, code switching, and code-meshing are strongly aligned with statements like the Conference on College Composition and Communication (CCCC) resolution “Students’ Right to Their Own Language” (1974) and the very recent “This Ain’t Another Statement!” This is a DEMAND for Black Linguistic Justice!” (2020b), which was released last July in response to the racial reckoning in the United States.

A further distinction should also be articulated between the models of *multilingual* (or L2 writing) pedagogy and *multicultural* pedagogy, which has broader application than the English/writing classroom and is based in the fields of education and sociology. As such, many of the practical applications of multilingual pedagogy can be informed by and found under the umbrella of
multicultural pedagogy, whose three historic models are contrasted below in relief to the definition of antiracist pedagogy.

**Antiracist Pedagogy**

Blakeney (2011), in the article “*Antiracist Pedagogy: Definition, Theory, Purpose and Professional Development,*” offers extensive analysis of the theoretical grounding of antiracist pedagogy, particularly in the ways it extends the traditional and historical theories that have informed multilingual (and multicultural) pedagogy. Citing Kailin’s (2002) *Antiracist Education: From Theory to Practice,* Blakeney notes that there have been three major models of multicultural education, each of which is insufficient: the assimilationist model, the integrationist model, and the cultural pluralism model. The assimilationist model encourages merging all diversities together with the goal of creating one homogenous “melting pot.” The integrationist model emphasizes the U.S.’s “opportunity structure” (Kailin, 2002, p. 48) to encourage minorities to participate and fit into American (white) culture and society. The cultural pluralism model acknowledges the common trope/ideology that America is a nation of immigrants, focusing on the tolerance and understanding of differences—for now; Kailin (2002) notes that the “implicit assumption that cultural difference was a temporary condition that would give way to assimilation” (p. 48).

Each of these models, Blakeney (2011) asserts, is problematic and incomplete, failing to leave space for differences in color/race and culture, and that “Antiracist pedagogy is expansive enough to include what each of the three models of Multicultural Education excludes” (p. 120). In particular, antiracist pedagogy ventures to tackle racism head-on. Specifically:

**Antiracist Pedagogy makes provision for understanding the impact of race and opportunity as well as the cultural differences associated with upward mobility patterns by focusing on the constructs of these inequalities. Antiracist Pedagogy also addresses the historical**
constructs that facilitate inequalities and seeks to create an antiracist paradigm that in time will serve to historically condition a new antiracist society. Antiracist Pedagogy includes explicit instruction on confronting racism without reservation or risk of ostracism, both of which are necessary in a society that mandates the purpose of public education as the production of democratic citizenry. Antiracist pedagogy aims at transformation by challenging the individual as well as the structural system that perpetuates racism. (Blakeney, 2011, p. 120)

Indeed, antiracist pedagogy looks at the big picture of structural inequality, which can make it seem like an insurmountable task for individual classroom teachers and school/program administrators. But incorporating multilingual and antiracist pedagogies is possible—and a first step includes understanding the history of how these populations of students (multilingual students and students of color) have been traditionally disenfranchised in education.

**Historically Underserved and -valued: Multilingual Students and Students of Color**

Before diving into how these pedagogies intersect, it is important to first note the similarities in how the two populations (multilingual students and students of color) have been regarded in school. Historically, the US education system was not originally engineered in a way that supports either populations of multilingual students or students of color. Scott (2020) notes, “years of racist policies and laws have shaped our classroom, colleges, and society at large,” and dismantling the current setup to make way for structural and administrative change necessitates a brief history lesson from both multilingual and antiracist research.

In the field of second language writing, Matsuda discusses this phenomenon in the higher-ed multilingual realm, which he attributes to two historic factors: the “disciplinary division of labor” (1999) and “the myth of linguistic homogeneity” (2006). The disciplinary division of labor details the
historic separation of those who traditionally worked with, trained, and supported multilingual students (i.e., specialists in linguistics and applied linguistics) and those who worked with mainstream or native English speakers (i.e., specialists in rhetoric and composition). This disciplinary divide resulted in comp instructors and English departments feeling like multilingual students were “not their problem,” and it is what historically caused many multilingual students to be placed in developmental (remedial) English classes incorrectly. Just as damaging for multilingual students is the myth of linguistic homogeneity (Matsuda, 2006)—the realization that “the dominant discourse of U.S. college composition not only has accepted English Only as an ideal but it already assumes the state of English-only, in which students are native English speakers by default” (p. 637). These two phenomena (Matsuda 1999, 2006) explain English and writing teachers have not historically been trained specifically to work with multilingual populations.

There is a similar separation that explains the inequities that many students of color experience in their educational endeavors, whether in P-12 or into higher education. St. Amour (2020b) discusses the rampant “equity gaps” that plague Black and brown students, connecting these gaps to systemic factors like racial discrimination, housing segregation, and maternal mortality rates. These systemic issues mean that the deck is stacked against nonwhite students before they ever set foot in a classroom, and this situation often negatively affects their educational pursuits. Higher education is also to blame, as this has traditionally been a space that has excluded Black and brown populations. The Southern Education Foundation (2017) corroborates St. Amour’s (2020) findings, identifying “significant barriers” that students of color face in higher education—specifically the placement of Black and Latinx students into developmental (remedial) education programs to “reduce the pre-college learning gap” they often experience in P-12.
This comparable educational situation for multilingual students and students of color alike hinders both groups’ abilities to complete their college education in a timely fashion. In “The Incredible Whiteness of Higher Education,” Montell (2019) notes, “Until we commit to dismantling the structural inequities that are baked into our [P-12 and higher] educational systems and that continue to privilege white students over students of color, true equity may remain out of reach.” However, the real-life situation in individual classrooms may not always seem as dire as Montell claims, thanks to the pedagogical approaches used by teachers of multilingual students, who are in many ways already incorporating antiracist techniques into their teaching. Multilingual and antiracist pedagogies are not an exact one-to-one; Kishimoto (2016) notes that anti-racism “focuses more in-depth on the analysis of structural racism, power relations, and social justice” in ways that multilingual pedagogy does not address (p. 2). In this way, antiracist pedagogy can enhance multilingual pedagogy by moving past notions of colorblindness and interrogating present educational structures through the lens of race. Still, their relationship is symbiotic: Adopting one seems to benefit the other. The next sections offer key resources, research, and challenges of multilingual and antiracist pedagogies, as well as recommendations for teachers and administrators who are interested in incorporating or implementing facets of these pedagogies into their praxis.

**Ideas for Adopting a Multilingual Pedagogy**

One go-to resource for educators who teach multilingual populations is the “CCCC Statement on Second Language Writing and Multilingual Writers” (CCCC, 2020a). This comprehensive document, also promoted by the National Council of Teachers of English (NCTE), includes guidelines for writing and writing-intensive courses (e.g., assignment design, assessment, teacher preparation), as well as guidelines for writing programs (e.g., placement, staffing, resources for teachers). One part of the statement that can be directly related to antiracist pedagogy is “Part
Four: Guidelines for Teacher Preparation.” The authors advocate that training for multilingual pedagogy “should be integrated throughout the professional preparation and development programs of all writing teachers,” emphasizing that teachers should address key topics, including cultural beliefs related to writing, building on students’ competencies, and paying attention to assignment design and response to writing (CCCC, 2020a). NCTE has also published “English Language Learners: A Policy Research Brief” (2008) with many similar practical suggestions.

The CCCC Statement (2020a) helps to inform online resources found on many institutional websites, including in our own state. For example, the UW-Madison site has a series of blog posts on their Writing Across the Curriculum pages dedicated to multilingual writers, including an introduction to the population (Vieira, n.d.), a list of campus and online resources (Writing Across the Curriculum, n.d.), and suggestions for evaluating and grading multilingual writing (Miller, n.d.). Providing easy-to-access online resources for teachers, parents, and students is an effective way to demonstrate that supporting multilingual students is a priority in the classroom. I advocate for more school administrators to collaborate with the teachers of multilingual students in their schools to provide and circulate this pragmatic information through the lens of their local contexts.

Ideas for Adopting an Antiracist Pedagogy

While there is not yet a comprehensive resource authored by CCCC or NCTE that specifically addresses antiracist pedagogy, a few key studies make valuable recommendations for key steps teachers and schools can take to work toward this goal. Kishimoto (2016) suggests that a major component of implementing antiracist pedagogy is “teaching from an antiracist pedagogical approach.” But what does that mean, exactly? Blakeney (2011) places importance on “[equipping] teachers with the ability to provide effective culturally responsive instruction” (p. 130). Asao B. Inoue (2017), in his foreword “On Antiracist Agendas” in the edited collection Performing Antiracist
Pedagogy in Rhetoric, Writing, and Communication, gets even more specific: “No matter the kind of course, topic, teacher, or group of students, there is one common thing that all teachers must confront in any course: assessment and grading” (p. xv). He expands broadly on this argument in his book Antiracist Writing Assessment Ecologies: Teaching and Assessing Writing for a Socially Just Future (Inoue, 2015). Other antiracist pedagogy resources make additional recommendations, including locating curricular gaps and adopting intentional course design (Columbia Center for Teaching and Learning, n.d.), reexamining teaching practices from the standpoint of students of color (Blackwell, 2010), and overall racial consciousness-raising (Haynes, 2017).

One aspect of antiracist pedagogy that Blakeney (2011) asserts is paramount to its successful implementation is the recognition that race is inextricable in an antiracist approach. The acknowledgement of race (and antiracist pedagogy’s situatedness within Critical Race Theory) is a topic that very few pre- and in-service teachers will have encountered before; as such, Blakeney (2011) proposes that “Effective professional development will address historical and cultural investigation of racism and development of racial identity” (p. 126). This means asking tough questions about one’s own implicit biases: “teachers must be aware of their own racial identity before they transform their own expectations, misconceptions, naivété, presumptions, and prejudices” (Blakeney, 2011, p. 126). Kishimoto (2016) acknowledges that this kind of critical inquiry should include students, as well: “The discussion of race should not be restrained to accommodate the comfort of white students and at the expense of students of color,” and “the uncomfortable moments, crisis, difficulty, or emotions […] are important opportunities for student (and faculty) growth” (p. 8). And Kailin (2002) notes that empowerment and activism of students, teachers, and administrators is central to successfully implementing antiracist pedagogy, thereby using education as “a tool to critically analyze existing power relations” (p. 55). Grounding these complex and
personally challenging topics in frameworks like intersectionality and Critical Race theory (CRT) may provide a solid theoretical underpinning for teachers, so they are better able to grapple with these alongside their students.

Inoue (2017) recommends a similar focus in teachers’ professional development:

A helpful antiracist agenda offers an understanding or explanation of race, racism, and the particular racial formations that develop in and around the classroom or program in question. […] This means the agenda may discuss how racism tends to be a part of the structures and mechanisms of grading in writing classrooms, in teacher feedback, in the ways that the school admits and places students into classes, in how and what it values in writing and how those values are related to larger dominant discourses. (p. xvii-xviii)

This suggests that teachers of multilingual populations may have to shift their thinking from a mostly pragmatic question, “What can I do to help these students succeed?”, to more reflective and critical questions: “How do the existing power relations in which I work and teach contribute to or perpetuate racist practices? In what ways does the structure of the educational system, (along with my own values, beliefs, and biases) affect my students’ success? And in what ways can I best acknowledge this situation and make changes to my pedagogy that best create an environment where ALL students can thrive?”

**Parallels Between Multilingual and Antiracist Pedagogies**

While the vital component of race and its inseparability from the lived experiences of students of color is something that Blakeney (2011) and Kishimoto (2016) argue sets antiracist pedagogy apart from multilingual pedagogy, it is important to note that “Antiracist Pedagogy is born out of the meshing of Multicultural Education [multilingual pedagogy] and Critical Pedagogy [antiracist pedagogy]” (Blakeney, 2011, p. 121). There are enough similarities and parallels between
both multilingual and antiracist pedagogies to contend that multicultural pedagogy is a productive
start to one’s acquisition of an antiracist pedagogical approach. The comparability of how
multilingual students and students of color have been historically under-represented and under-
supported in education is one key similarity. The obligation of teachers to think critically about these
student populations to inform all aspects of their work (e.g., course and materials design,
assessment, grading, professional development) is another. Doing this kind of Critical Race Theory-
informed work means that adopting an antiracist pedagogy has the possibility to broaden and
strengthen the multilingual pedagogy that teachers of multilingual populations already practice, by
“addressing “the historical constructs that facilitate inequalities” for students of color,
“understanding the impact of race on opportunity,” and “explicit instruction on confronting racism
without reservation or risk of ostracism” (Blakeney, 2011, p. 120). Torres (2020) asserts that the
burden falls on not only teachers, who must re-evaluate their curriculum and daily classroom
practices, but also on students, who must work to understand the concepts of privilege and power,
and also on school administrations, who must be willing to interrogate their current structures and
practices through an antiracist lens. What results is a culturally-responsive praxis that has the
potential to improve the classroom experience for many diverse students.

A multilingual/antiracist pedagogy means doing the hard, necessary work for both classroom
teachers and administrators. Preto-Bay and Hansen (2006) assert in their article about meeting the
needs of changing (multilingual) student populations, “teacher commitment and systemic change
will… come about only if teachers are allowed to and expected to find their own strategies and
solutions within each classroom” (p. 52). And McKamey (2020) asserts in “What Anti-Racist
Teachers Do Differently,” “Educators at every level must be willing to be uncomfortable in their
struggle for black students, recognizing students’ power and feeding it by honoring their many
contributions to our schools.” But importantly, this must also be a top-down endeavor from an administrative standpoint: “Principals [and program administrators, department chairs, deans, the local school board] must clearly and consistently communicate the anti-racist vision for their school, create professional-development opportunities for staff, recognize teachers who successfully teach all of their students, and intervene when they see problems” (McKamey, 2020). But for this to happen, of course, educational administrators must meet the moment—whether we are talking about support for multilingual students and/or in creating an antiracist classroom (see Matsuda, 2012; Miller-Cochran, 2010; and Shuck, 2008 for more multilingual-focused administrative discussion; see Clary-Lemon, 2009; García de Müeller, 2016; García de Müeller & Ruiz, 2017; Perryman-Clark & Craig, n.d.; and Torres, 2020 for more antiracist-focused administrative discussion).

**One Common Approach: The Inclusive Classroom**

_Inclusive pedagogy_ is an umbrella term used recently to help define what can be considered a combined multilingual/antiracist approach, and many voices have contributed to the conversation of what makes an inclusive classroom. Gannon’s (2018) “The Case for Inclusive Teaching” in _The Chronicle of Higher Education_ advocates for “a genuine commitment to inclusive pedagogy,” including treating all students equitably and ensuring all students have “full access” to the tools and resources they need to be successful. Scott (2020) agrees, noting that “students learn more when they feel included, welcomed, and treated part of the classroom community,” and that “students achieve higher academic outcomes when there are positive student-teacher relationships and a sense that an instructor cares about them.”

This entails not just focusing on individual, personal professional development, but also being able to meet students where they are at every moment in the classroom. In “Teaching the
Students We Have, Not the Students We Wish We Had,” Goldrick-Rab and Stommel (2018) recognize that today’s classrooms are more diverse than ever before, and supporting this diversity “requires approaches that are responsive, inclusive, adaptive, challenging, and compassionate.” Wheaton College Massachusetts Center for Collaborative Teaching and Learning’s page “Becoming an Anti-Racist Educator” (n.d.) also suggests that teachers “interrogate [their] expectations of the ‘ideal’ student,” and Columbia University’s Center for Teaching and Learning (n.d.) recommends that teachers “foster a compassionate class community and meet students where they are at.” Ultimately, this ethos of inclusivity is more than just a suggested reading list or a required in-service workshop; inclusive pedagogy connects to the core of who we are as teachers, and also as human beings. The common ground of inclusive pedagogy can be an excellent starting point to bridge multilingual and antiracist pedagogies even more meaningfully.

How do we bridge the theoretical with the practical? In other words, all these ideas and research are really informative and potentially advantageous… but how can we make it work for ourselves, personally? For our classrooms, our students, our programs and departments and institutions? As with most endeavors, one’s positionality and individual local context must be considered when trying to implement multilingual and antiracist pedagogies on the ground and in real life. Below I share my own local context and the ways in which I and many others at my institution are attempting to enact this praxis.

Practical Strategies for Implementation (for Teachers and Administrators): Looking at the Author’s Local Context

I am the Director of Writing Across the Curriculum (WAC) and an Assistant Professor of English at St. Norbert College in De Pere, WI, a private small liberal arts college that is predominantly white—though our larger-than-expected incoming freshman class for Fall 2021 was
noted in a recent *Inside Higher Ed* article as being the most racially diverse on record with 13% reported non-white (Jaschik, 2021). St. Norbert has a WAC program that requires all students to take a writing intensive course within their first two years on campus, and “Communicate Effectively” is one of seven Core Goals in our Core Curriculum (general education) program initiative (“Core Curriculum”). In addition, almost all majors include a vested interest in and focus on writing in the disciplines, and St. Norbert is fortunate to be able to offer extensive professional development opportunities for faculty when needed. In addition to overseeing the WAC Program, I also teach classes like College Writing (freshmen) and Professional Writing (juniors and seniors).

Below are lists of themed practices, strategies, and opportunities to implement multilingual and/or antiracist pedagogies at my institution, St. Norbert College. The themes include suggestions for day-to-day classroom practices, course- and program-level considerations, professional development suggestions, and administrative concerns. Some of the items listed are actions I have already taken in the last year; others are aspirational and are initiatives that I hope to implement in the next year or two. Note that what follows is specific to my local context (e.g., at a private four-year college); the application of multilingual and antiracist pedagogies in your local context may differ depending on a variety of factors. It is not an exhaustive list; rather, what follows are simply suggestions based on one person’s experience.

*Day-to-Day Classroom Practices*

Three strategies that I enact in daily class meetings to implement multilingual and antiracist pedagogies:

- I use labor-based contract grading (Elbow, 2008; Inoue, 2015, 2019) in both my freshman and junior/senior classes (also suggested in the “Antiracist WAC Toolkit” from Syracuse University, n.d.)
Also informed by the “Antiracist WAC Toolkit” (n.d.), I offer many opportunities for reflective writing. This is one informal writing opportunity I give my students; in addition, I scaffold higher-stakes writing assignments with a lot of invention work and brainstorming, wherein they are encouraged to write in whatever language or format in which they are most comfortable.

I plan to try community rubric building (“Antiracist WAC Toolkit,” n.d.) in the upcoming semesters. After learning what the particular writing assignment will be and how it is connected to the course learning goals, I will invite students to generate relevant assessment criteria for the task.

I am also considering adopting the edited collection This Ain’t Yesterday’s Literacy: Culture and Education After George Floyd curated by Vershawn Ashanti Young (2020). This textbook published by Fountainhead Press is a timely collection with units on George Floyd as a cultural tipping point, allies and antiracist activism, and more.

Course- and Program-level Considerations

Three ways that I think about these pedagogies as they pertain to my class planning:

When designing assignments, I avoid U.S.-centric pop culture-based references, and I keep in mind that “topics such as sexuality, criticism of authority, political beliefs, personal experiences, and religious beliefs” may be sensitive for students of different cultural and educational backgrounds” (CCCC, 2020a).

I provide multiple options for students to complete an assignment (CCCC, 2020a). For example, a student can respond to an online discussion forum by typing their response or by recording a video or audio clip. Or, I offer a variety of possible genres in which students can compose (e.g., a traditional essay, a TED Talk-style video, a series of blog posts).
I also ensure that all my created documents are accessible for differently-abled students, a nod to Universal Design for Learning (UDL), which is a tenet of inclusive pedagogy (Scott, 2020).

At the English Department (program) level, we instituted a new diversity literature requirement for English majors. We also read So You Want To Talk About Race (Oluo, 2018) as a department and discussed it as part of a recent curriculum revision.

**Professional Development Suggestions**

Beyond the classroom, the following are opportunities for St. Norbert faculty, students, and/or staff to engage in antiracist work:

- This past year, St. Norbert offered a six-week Virtual Anti-Racism Workshop through the Cassandra Voss Center, with training sessions available for faculty/staff and for students.
- In future semesters, I will be offering multi-day workshops for implementing labor-based contract grading and other anti-racist practices. The required training to onboard new teachers of writing intensive classes will also include training in multilingual, antiracist, and inclusive pedagogies.
- I am also currently drafting proposals to lower course caps of writing intensive courses to 15 or 20 students, a best practice noted in the “Principles for the Postsecondary Teaching of Writing” (CCCC, 2015) and the “Statement on Second Language Writing and Multilingual Writers” (CCCC, 2020a).

**Administrative Concerns**

Finally, the following has been accomplished at the administrative level:

- In response to the racial reckoning in Summer 2020, St. Norbert shared a statement of antiracism on their website, “Working for Racial Justice at SNC: Vision, Strategy and
Commitment” (2020). This statement included strategies and goals to guide and sustain the College’s racial justice efforts, including in curricular and co-curricular development, student and faculty recruitment and retention, campus climate, and community outreach.

- Our website also makes available a number of key resources and statements, including a “Statement on Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion” (n.d.) from the Office of Diversity Affairs and a Land Acknowledgement Statement (2018) that recognizes the enduring relationship of our local Indigenous Peoples from the Menomonee nation.

- St. Norbert hired a new Dean of Curriculum and Senior Diversity Officer to start in Summer 2021, an individual hired specifically to help the College implement our robust equity, diversity, and inclusion goals, as well as to assist in curriculum development.

Potential Challenges and Pitfalls

Of course, there are limitations to applying and enacting these pedagogies. Blakeney (2011) acknowledges that those pursuing this task will likely feel some “cognitive dissonance” (p. 126)—realizing one’s own racist tendencies, histories, and beliefs (latent or manifest); and/or recognizing the racist histories inherent in policies, structures, or policies in the workplace can be emotionally challenging. Torres (2020) notes that individuals may experience feelings of guilt (e.g., white guilt, privilege guilt). The thought of transforming an entire school or program to be more antiracist can feel overwhelming—Where can one start? Obstacles within the local context also abound (e.g., acquiring funding for professional development and hiring, providing teachers with adequate time to revise curriculum and assignments). The key is to articulate what steps can be taken, what actions can be implemented, to move toward a place of action. Kishimoto (2016) posits that “anti-racist teaching highlights learning as a life-long process” (p. 5), and that can include acknowledging any potential pitfalls in the planning stages and meeting each challenge as it arises.
Conclusion

Planning lessons, designing assignments, and directing programs with multilingual students in mind is already the start of an antiracist practice. And setting an intention to commit to antiracist pedagogy by interrogating present educational structures through the lens of race is a decision that inherently supports multilingual students as well. Kishimoto (2016) notes that “Anti-racist pedagogy is not a prescribed method that can simply be applied to our teaching… [It] is an intentional and strategic organizing effort” on the part of teachers and administrators (p. 12). Multilingual pedagogy requires this same kind of concerted effort. While a multilingual/antiracist praxis is typically adopted by teachers to support multilingual students and/or students of color, in reality, incorporating these pedagogies into our teaching lives has wider and more comprehensive benefits for all students. Gannon (2018) asserts that “the beauty of inclusive pedagogy is that, rather than making special accommodations that would decrease equity, it actually benefits all students, not just those at whose needs it was originally aimed” (emphasis in original). Adopting a pedagogy that is both multilingual and antiracist requires a commitment to awareness-raising, resource-finding, and support-building. This is a challenge that today’s teachers and administrators should be excited and ready to embrace.
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